

Cape & Vineyard Electric Cooperative, Inc.
Executive Committee
Open Session Meeting Minutes
Wednesday, September 30, 2015

The Executive Committee of the Cape & Vineyard Electric Cooperative, Inc. met in Open Session on Wednesday, September 30, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. in Conference Room 11/12, Superior Court House, 3195 Main Street, Barnstable MA 02630

PRESENT WERE:

1. Charles McLaughlin, President/Executive Committee Member/Director, Barnstable
2. Jennifer Rand, V. President/Executive Committee Member/Director, W. Tisbury - remotely
3. Stephan Wollenburg, Executive Committee Member/Director/Cape Light Compact - remotely
4. Leo Cakounes, Executive Committee Member/Director, Barnstable County
5. Charles Hanson, Clerk/Executive Committee Member/Director, Brewster

John Checklick, Director, Falmouth

Steven Gavin, Director, Yarmouth

ABSENT WERE:

None

LEGAL COUNSEL

Audrey Eidelman, Esq., BCK Law, P.C. - remotely

STAFF PRESENT:

Liz Argo, Manager, Programs & Administration

1. CALL TO ORDER

Pres. McLaughlin called the meeting to order at 12:05 p.m. The Meeting Notice/Agenda having been duly posted pursuant to the Open Meeting Law at 3:08 p.m. on September 25, 2015 to the Cape & Vineyard Electric Cooperative, Inc. website.

2. No Public Comment

3. CONSIDERATION OF AUGUST 31, 2105 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OPEN SESSION MEETING MINUTES

S. Wollenburg moved the Committee vote to accept the minutes as corrected, seconded by C. Hanson and voted by roll call as follows:

<i>C. McLaughlin, Barnstable</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>S. Wollenburg, Cape Light Compact</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>L. Cakounes, Barnstable County</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>J. Rand, W. Tisbury</i>	<i>Abstained</i>
<i>C. Hanson, Brewster</i>	<i>Yes</i>		

Motion carried (4-0-1)

4. NEXAMP POWER SALES PROPOSAL

L. Argo reported on a meeting with Nauset Regional School District (NRSD) and described the Peregrine Energy spreadsheet. The Committee will consider and discuss agenda items 4, 5 & 6 together as they are inter-related and all affect CVEC capacity. There was discussion about possibly providing Net Metering Credits (NMC) to other governmental entities in CVEC's region, such as water districts. Atty. Eidelman said the Department of Public Utilities maintains a listing of entities that have received NMC Identification Numbers. These entities have the 10 megawatt (MW) capacity limit under the NMC Regulations.

There was discussion about releasing the Town of Yarmouth from its contracts. Yarmouth reported that potentially Yarmouth would replace itself for CVEC NMCofftaking using the Housing Authority. There was discussion about CVEC sending its offtaker NMC to federally owned entities. S. Wollenburg said they are eligible but are logistically difficult to serve. They also need to retain the Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs).

There was discussion about the number of kilowatt hours (kWh) as fixed by towns' Power Sales (PSA) contracts with CVEC. This policy was intended to set the load. There was discussion about the possibility of 300,000 kWh of NMC being requested by Nauset Regional School District (NRSD). There was discussion about the amount of work involved to close the Nexamp opportunity for NMC for CVEC offtakers and possibly significant legal expense. There was discussion about adding Nexamp offer to an existing CVEC PSA contract. This would not be possible due to the different costs associated with the generation. Atty. Eidelman agreed to confirm that there is a cap on the FGW project. There was discussion about contracting with Nexamp and using the generation solely to satisfy NRSD. There was concern that doing so would preclude providing the opportunity to all members of the Cooperative. It was agreed CVEC needs to remain a portfolio-based group.

L. Argo read an email message from Joe Fiori of Nexamp regarding the offer from Nexamp to aid with CVEC's legal costs. C. McLaughlin said it sounds like a "Loan". Atty. Eidelman said there is currently no form of contract for the Nexamp deal. The form for the Assonet Project was supplied by Assonet and never reviewed for CVEC. Possibly Nexamp could supply a proposed form of agreement or perhaps they are comfortable with CVEC's structure. L. Argo said that Nexamp has reviewed all CVEC previous forms of agreement including the lockbox arrangement. Atty. Eidelman projected potential legal costs to be one-two thousand for Contract Form; negotiations would be the larger cost of around \$5,000 and off-taker agreements around \$1000 each. If there are 10 off-takers it would be \$10,000. Total estimated legal budget between \$15,000 & \$20,000. L. Argo was asked to request Nexamp's Form of Agreement. There was discussion about income and available budget to enter into contract with Nexamp and whether there is time to prepare by their deadline. Atty. Eidelman said the Agreement would need to be worked out in order to finalize off-taker contracts. There was discussion about splitting the legal expense with Nexamp on a 2-year agreement. CVEC has been provided 30 days to decide to move forward if comfortable. There was discussion that 45 days is more realistic for CVEC. *C. Hanson moved the Committee vote to contact Nexamp and inform them CVEC requires 45 days based on our history. CVEC will sign a non-binding Letter of Intent (LOI) that is contingent on agreements from enough off-takers and offer counter on legal costs with CVEC contributing \$8,500 and split additional costs with Nexamp, seconded by L. Cakounes.* There was discussion about ending the process once CVEC has spent \$15,000 on legal costs unless Nexamp agrees to pay for remaining costs. Atty. Eidelman said BCK may have difficulties getting the form of contract out. C. Hanson noted that the offer would save the Town's .04/kWh on their electric bills. L. Cakounes supported the 45-day requirement, but said he is not in support of negotiating legal fees believing the costs for the larger savings is reasonable. J. Checklick said CVEC will invest \$16,000 +/- for a return of \$8,500/year for 20 years. J. Rand & S. Wollenburg agreed with L. Cakounes. *L. Cakounes moved the motion be amended to remove the legal fee language, seconded by Rand and voted by roll call vote as follows:*

- | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|-----|
| 1. C. McLaughlin, Barnstable | Yes | 4. S. Wollenburg, Cape Light Compact | Yes |
| 2. L. Cakounes, Barnstable County | Yes | 5. J. Rand, W. Tisbury | Yes |
| 3. C. Hanson, Brewster | Yes | | |

Motion to amend the main motion carried (5-0-0).

The Committee then voted on the main motion as amended as follows:

That the Committee vote to contact Nexamp and inform them CVEC requires 45 days based on our history. CVEC will sign a non-binding Letter of Intent (LOI) that is contingent on agreements from enough off-takers by roll call as follows:

- | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|-----|
| 1. L. Cakounes, Barnstable County | Yes | 4. J. Rand, W. Tisbury | Yes |
| 2. C. Hanson, Brewster | Yes | 5. S. Wollenburg, Cape Light Compact | Yes |
| 3. C. McLaughlin, Barnstable | Yes | | |
- Motion to amend the main motion carried (5-0-0).

5. YARMOUTH REQUEST FOR RELEASE FROM CVEC POWER SALES AGREEMENT

The Committee reviewed Yarmouth's request to end their Power Sales Agreement with CVEC. L. Cakounes suggested making Yarmouth aware that CVEC will be coming forward with additional NMC opportunities which will be offered to all off-takers. J. Checklick noted that Yarmouth previously asked that Monomoy Schools not be included as an off-taker so as to favor Yarmouth with a greater amount of NMC distribution. C. Hanson said the Coop was banded together to purchase in bulk and spread it out among the membership and added that pricing may continue to come down. There was discussion about CVEC agreeing with Yarmouth and the precedent that release would establish. There was discussion about the impact of the .01 adder request with Yarmouth in light of Nexamp. L. Cakounes suggested CVEC respond to Yarmouth that CVEC tries to do the best for all members and that it cannot release the town from the contract because it is not in the best interest of the entire cooperative. L. Argo added that CVEC is bringing forth the Nexamp opportunity for all members who can take more NMC. C. Hanson said it might be different if Yarmouth wanted a reduction due to behind the meter generation, but Yarmouth is seeking better pricing at the expense of the other members. S. Wollenburg said he anticipates problems with other members if CVEC agrees to release Yarmouth from their off-taker PSAs. J. Checklick suggested Yarmouth provide another off-taker but they would need to be made aware that non-members will have a steeper rate. S. Gavin said that Yarmouth has received preferential treatment already with the Dennis/Yarmouth Regional School District system sending all excess NMC output to Yarmouth. L. Cakounes moved the Committee instruct our President to respond to the Town of Yarmouth's request for release from PSA Contracts and that at this time, that action would not be in the best interests of the Cooperative and its members. If Yarmouth can provide participants to replace its off-taking, then we would consider it, seconded by C. Hanson and voted by roll call vote as follows:

- | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|-----|
| 1. L. Cakounes, Barnstable County | Yes | 4. S. Wollenburg, Cape Light Compact | Yes |
| 2. C. Hanson, Brewster | Yes | 5. C. McLaughlin, Barnstable | Yes |
| 3. J. Rand, W. Tisbury | Yes | | |
- Motion carried (5-0-0).

L. Cakounes recommended a copy of the letter be sent out to all members.

6. CVEC PV INITIATIVE ROUND 3

The Committee discussed the Round 3 PV Initiative and the Municipal Energy Technical Assistance (META) Grant Reimbursement potential. There was discussion if the costs for RFP management can be added to the RFP. There was discussion about whether Public Procurement is available to CVEC. There was discussion about the possibility of an Intergovernmental Agreement to bring CVEC forward as the contractor for a META Grant. There was discussion about the number of projects that will qualify for the META grant. There was discussion about using the RFP process for a Round 3 PV Project. Atty. Eidelman advised that CVEC has implied it would follow the processes previously used in other initiatives. There was discussion about CVEC deciding to participate or not and, if not, to notify the Towns interested and instructing them to proceed independently. C. McLaughlin said the methodology for reimbursement needs to be examined and S. Wollenburg agreed that clarification is needed as to whether the META Grant would take CVEC through the entire process including negotiations and everything. L. Cakounes moved the Committee vote to instruct the

President to continue the RFP Preparation for Round III subject to the META Grant reimbursement and the defining of the scope of services subject to further negotiations, seconded by J. Rand and voted by roll call as follows:

- | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|-----|
| 1. S. Wollenburg, Cape Light Compact | Yes | 4. J. Rand, W. Tisbury | Yes |
| 2. L. Cakounes, Barnstable County | Yes | 5. C. McLaughlin, Barnstable | Yes |
| 3. C. Hanson, Brewster | Yes | | |

Motion carried (5-0-0).

Atty. Eidelman advised the Board that the CVEC RFP Contract provides CVEC as the middleman.

At 2:48 p.m. Atty. Eidelman disconnected from the phone

9. ACCOUNTING & BOOKKEEPING SERVICES RFP

The Form of Contract for accounting services has been resolved.

12. ROUND 1 OPERATIONAL ADDER UPDATE

L. Argo provided an up-date on the Round 1 Adder. C. McLaughlin asked if CVEC should consider a similar carport rental offer that has been offered to the towns at \$10/space.

At 2:57 pm S. Wollenburg disconnected from the telephone.

At 2:58 pm S. Gavin left the meeting.

13. EXECUTIVE SESSION TO CONSIDER EXECUTIVE SESSION MEETING MINUTES

The Committee did not enter into Executive Session because the only matter was to consider Executive Session Meeting Minutes. C. Hanson moved to approve the May 14, 2015 Executive Committee Executive Session Meeting Minutes in Open Session as presented, seconded by L. Cakounes and voted by roll call as follows:

- | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. L. Cakounes, Barnstable County | Yes | 3. C. McLaughlin, Barnstable | Yes |
| 2. C. Hanson, Brewster | Yes | 4. J. Rand, W. Tisbury | Abstained |

Motion carried in the affirmative (3-0-1)

Respectfully submitted,

Liz Argo

LIST OF DOCUMENTS & EXHIBITS:

- Meeting Notice/Agenda
- August 31, 2105 Executive Committee Open Session Meeting Minutes – Draft
- May 14, 2015 Executive Committee Executive Session Meeting Minutes – Draft
- CVEC Net Metering Appetite Analysis/Summary dated 9/29/15

Cape & Vineyard Electric Cooperative, Inc. Executive Committee Open Session Meeting Minutes

Monday, August 31, 2015

DRAFT

The Executive Committee of the Cape & Vineyard Electric Cooperative, Inc. met in Open Session on Monday, August 31, 2015 at 1:00 p.m. in Barnstable County Commissioners Meeting Room, Superior Court House, 3195 Main Street, Barnstable MA 02630

PRESENT WERE:

6. John C. Checklick, President/Executive Committee Member/Director, Falmouth
 7. Charles McLaughlin, V. President/Executive Committee Member/Director, Barnstable – by phone until 1:50 pm.
 8. Leo Cakounes, Executive Committee Member/Director, Barnstable County
 9. Stephan Wollenburg, Executive Committee Member/Director, Cape Light Compact
- Charles Hanson, Clerk/Director, Brewster

STAFF PRESENT:

Liz Argo, Manger, Programs & Administration

Karen Loura, Assistant Clerk

1. CALL TO ORDER

Pres. Checklick called the meeting to order at 1:03 p.m. stating that the Meeting Notice/Agenda had been duly posted pursuant to the Open Meeting Law on August 26, 2015 @ 2:45 pm on the CVEC Website and exterior public meeting notice board at the Superior Court House.

2. NO PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no members of the Public Present.

Pres. Checklick noted receipt of notice from Peter Cabana resigning the Dukes County Directors position. He informed the Committee on the idea to have an interim Director to appointed to the Executive Committee to replace P. Cabana but since the Bylaw are unclear it was decided it would be best to await the annual meeting of election of Executive at large members.

Since C. McLaughlin would need to disconnect early, *L. Cakounes moved the Committee vote to take up item #6 (Review of CVEC financials and plans for future Operational Adder) out of order, seconded by S. Wollenburg and voted unanimously in favor (4-0-0).*

6. REVIEW OF ACCOUNTING AND BOOKKEEPING ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES/SERVICES

Pres. Checklick provided a summary of the history of this topic, specifically the amount and complexity of bookkeeping and accounting work had increased dramatically. L. Argo prepared an outline of all the services and tasks to be performed monthly. She and J. Bayne met with Tammy Glivinski, of Glivinski & Associates to review the accounting who upon further review determined the accounting would be a far more involved undertaking than originally thought. There was discussion about the available options and procurement options. C. McLaughlin said if the Committee goes with a CPA there is no need for competitive bidding as they are exempt from MGLA Chapter 30B Procurement Laws. He said otherwise he would recommend a full Request for Proposals (RFP), review responses, delivery of services and accuracy and see how pricing comes in. L.

Argo said M. Zielinski @ \$50.00/hr. L. Argo was then able to estimate 40/hours/month @ \$50.00 (\$24,000 annually). There was discussion about moving the accounting responsibility out of the County but keeping the Administrative Services Agreement. There was discussion about clerical support staff needs.

L. Cakounes suggested hiring an employee and reported the County will soon hire a full time Finance Director and she will propose they review the services being provided to CVEC & Cape Light Compact (CLC) and if it is determine additional staff are needed, there would be increased charges to warrant hiring new staff or services would be discontinued.

C. McLaughlin expressed concern that limiting the RFP to \$25,000 may preclude highly qualified candidates. He said the review process could be expedited and suggested the Executive Committee along with J. Bayne, Treasurer and C. Hanson, Clerk form a subcommittee to review applicants. Pres. Checklick suggested 3 members including J. Bayne and C. McLaughlin. L. Cakounes suggested a 2-stage process with a rating committee for the initial review referring 2 candidates to the Executive Committee. L. Argo expressed time constraints to manage an RFP process. She said she and J. Bayne have a meeting scheduled for September 9th with T. Glivinski.

There was discussion about the length of the term.

C. McLaughlin said he is comfortable issuing a request for quotations (RFQ) capped at \$25,000 and disconnected from the phone at 1:50 p.m.

L. Cakounes moved the Committee vote to direct the President and Treasurer to formulate an RFQ for accounting and bookkeeping services not to exceed beyond June 30, 2016 and to present all proposals to the Executive Committee for appropriate action, seconded by S. Wollenburg and voted unanimously in favor (3-0-0).

3. CONSIDERATION OF MEETING MINUTES

The Committee considered the June 11, 2015 Executive Committee Meeting Minutes. *L. Cakounes moved the Committee vote to accept the minutes as presented, seconded by S. Wollenburg and voted 2-0-1 with S. Wollenburg abstaining.*

4. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY RESOURCES COMMUNITY CLEAN ENERGY RESILIENCE INITIATIVE GRANT CONTRACT

L. Argo reported she is working with the Dept. of Energy Resources (DOER) on the grant contract and a critical piece is the matching funds. She read an email from Phil Burt, Barnstable County Emergency Preparedness Project Assistant, Barnstable County Department of Health and Environment / REPC confirming that \$3,500 will be provided by Barnstable County Resource Development Office for Phase I matching funds. An RFQ will be used for the feasibility and economic study portion and Executive Committee approval will be sought. BCK Law will review and help finalize the contract. At the conclusion of Phase I, CVEC can withdraw if not confident in the project.

S. Wollenburg reported ISO New England has many markets with a minimum size of 100 KW. The proposed battery back-up system is 500 KW. He said he, L. Argo and other committee members have met with a few vendors including Seapower who have a contract with DCAMM, a Demand Response Manager.

There was discussion about possibly borrowing funds for the match and also about asking the School to contribute. L. Argo said the school will spent \$20-30,000 to upgrade the circuits to accommodate a battery. For now, there is a need for CVEC to match \$35,000 w/\$3,500 for a consultant to provide battery revenue report.

L. Cakounes moved the Executive Committee vote to authorize the President to sign the DOER Community Clean Energy Resiliency Grant Contract subject to confirmation of the matching grant of \$3,500 provided by Barnstable County RDO for Phase I and that a plan be developed to cover the matching portion of Phase II, seconded by S. Wollenburg and voted unanimously in favor (3-0-0).

The Executive Committee will seek a resolution from the full Board.

5. REPORT ON STATUS OF FY15 ANNUAL REPORT PREPARATION AND PRESENTATION TO DIRECTORS

L. Argo reported there is a draft in review and J. Bayne will assist with and sign off on financials. Pres. Checklick requested the Agenda and Board packet be provided to Directors by September 10th to allow for review time.

6. REVIEW OF CVEC FINANCIALS AND PLANS FOR FUTURE OPERATIONAL ADDER

See above following Item #2.

7. ROUND I OPERATIONAL ADDER UPDATE

L. Argo reviewed the 7 host towns and 9 off-takers (14 total) involved in the Round I PV Project who will be asked to begin paying an adder to CVEC for operating expenses. Provincetown has agreed with CVEC to pay the adder. A presentation has been made in Brewster and the matter is going before the Board of Selectmen officially on 9/15. L. Argo reviewed the upcoming scheduled meetings/presentations. Currently with Chilmark & Dukes County the Board previously carved out an exemption for them due to high legal costs. The consensus of the executive committee was to not provide an exemption for the Round 1 adder.

8. OPEN SESSION VOTE TO ENTER INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION TO REVIEW EXECUTIVE SESSION MEETING MINUTES.

(NO VOTE TO ENTER EXECUTIVE SESSION)

L. Cakounes said he did not believe an Executive Session is required to approve Executive Session Minutes containing no other business but the approval of Executive Session Minutes and moved the Committee vote to accept the minutes as presented, seconded by S. Wollenburg and voted unanimously in favor (3-0-0).

At 2:45 S. Wollenburg moved to adjourn, seconded by L. Cakounes and voted unanimously in favor (3-0-0)

Respectfully submitted,

Karen E. Loura
Assistant Clerk

LIST OF DOCUMENTS & EXHIBITS:

- Meeting Notice/Agenda
- June 11, 2015 Executive Committee Open Session Meeting Minutes
- May 14, 2015 Executive Committee Executive Session Meeting Minutes

**CVEC Net Metering Appetite
9/29/2015**

Table 1. Initial Analysis of Potentail Appetite: A condensed version of the CVEC table from 9/25

Offtakers	Reservation	Existing CVEC PV Allocations	Initial Balance (Reservation minus PV Allocation)	Additional Allocation Request	FGW Allocation	Remaining Balance (Additional Allocation Request minus FGW Allocation)
Barnstable County	1,637,695	887,344	750,351	750,351	590,020	160,331
Brewster*	1,000,300	541,988	458,312	558,312	439,015	119,297
Chatham	432,149	234,149	198,000	198,000	155,692	42,307
Monomoy	2,000,000	435,443	1,564,557	1,564,557	1,230,252	334,306
Oak Bluffs	500,000	270,913	229,087	229,087	180,137	48,950
Provincetown*	1,300,989	704,909	596,080	820,000	644,787	175,213
Yarmouth DY first	1,826,649	1,109,471	717,178	450,000	353,847	96,153
Total						976,557

Note: * indicates that the town has requested an allocation > than their balance to account for expected growth in town kWh use

The key column is "Remaining Balance". It is calculated as the Additional Allocation Request minus the FGW Allocation

The issue of some PV systems producing more than expected affects the Existing CVEC PV Allocations, which in turn affects the Initial Balance.

The Initial Balance is not used in the calculation of the Remaining Balance

But, the Initial Balance does go to whether the Additional Allocation Requests were reasonable

Also, the Initial Balance can be used to assess whether CVEC as a whole has the appetite to absorb the Nexamp NMC.

Two alternative ways of calculating Existing CVEC PV Allocations are set out below.

The first version uses a) the expected annual output (EAO) of the PV systems and b) calculates the offtaker allocations using the expected host shares (for every system, the host takes what it can and the offtakers divide up the rest)

This is the approach used in the CVEC analysis in Table 1

The second version uses a) EAO + 10% (to create a cushion for potential overproduction); and b) calculates the offtaker allocations using the actual host shares, which turned out higher than expected, meaning the offtakers got less.

The key conclusions are

1. the allocations come out nearly the same under the two methods, meaning that potential PV overproduction is largely offset by lower than expected offtaker allocations.

See Table 2 below

2. Even using version 2, to calculate the remaining balance in nearly every case the amount of additional allocation requested by the offtakers is lower than the remaining balance

The exceptions are:

- a. the two towns that have deliberately requested an allocation greater than their balance
- b. Monomy Schools, where the Requested Additional Allocation is just 2.6% higher than the remaining balance.

See Table 3 below

Table 2. Alternative Methods of Calculating Existing Allocations

Offtakers	Existing	Existing	Difference	%
	CVEC PV	CVEC PV		Difference
	Version 1	Version 2		
Barnstable County	887,344	866,170	21,174	2.4%
Brewster	541,988	528,993	12,995	2.4%
Chatham	234,149	228,459	5,690	2.4%
Monomoy	435,443	475,549	-40,106	-9.2%
Oak Bluffs	270,913	264,346	6,567	2.4%
Provincetown	704,909	688,201	16,708	2.4%
Yarmouth DY first	1,109,471	1,172,546	-63,075	-5.7%
TOTAL	4,184,216	4,224,264	-40,048	-1.0%

Table 3. Initial Balance vs Additional Allocation Request

Offtakers	Reservation	Existing	Initial	Additional
		CVEC PV	Balance	
		Allocations	(Reservation	Allocation
		Version 2	minus PV	Request
			Allocation)	

Barnstable County	1,637,695	866,170	771,525	750,351
Brewster*	1,000,300	528,993	471,307	558,312
Chatham	432,149	228,459	203,690	198,000
Monomoy	2,000,000	475,549	1,524,451	1,564,557
Oak Bluffs	500,000	264,346	235,654	229,087
Provincetown*	1,300,989	688,201	612,788	820,000
Yarmouth DY first	1,826,649	1,172,546	654,103	450,000

Estimating Potential Overproduction

The analysis above assumes PV production 10% greater than the Expected Annual Outputs (EAO).

That is a conservative approach because actual production has been running, on average, 5% above EAO

Table 4. PV System Output

System	Start Date	End Date	Days	EAO	Actual Output	Annualized Output (365 days)	Difference
Tisbury Landfill	7/29/2014	8/6/2015	373	1,244,077	1,406,160	1,376,001	131,924
Harwich Landfill	8/1/2014	8/9/2015	373	4,888,500	5,388,120	5,272,557	384,057
Eastham Landfill	4/23/2014	5/4/2015	376	714,574	785,460	762,481	47,907
Katama	6/6/2014	5/31/2015	359	1,548,775	1,373,740	1,396,699	-152,076
Nunnepog	6/6/2014	5/21/2015	349	1,801,865	1,186,380	1,240,770	-561,095
Totals w/out Nunnepog				8,395,926		8,807,739	411,813